

APR 06 2007

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence, totaling <u>2</u> pages including rectified attachments, is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile no.: 571-273-8300 (Central number) on the below date: Date: <u>April 6, 2007</u> Name: <u>Ann Leffler</u> Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		
---	--	--

BRINKS
HOFFER
GILSON
& LIONE

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: Daniel Kletensky, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/820,913

Filed: April 8, 2004

For: High Beam Light For Headlamps Of Motor Vehicles

Attorney Docket No: 10541-2028

Examiner: Ismael Negron

Art Unit: 2875

## APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

## Tentative Participants

(1) Ismael Negron (2) David Hudyma  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: April 11, 2007

Proposed Time: 10:00 a.m.

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

## ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj. etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>§103(a) Rel.</u>	<u>2-5</u>	<u>2002/0097585</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>


☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Appln. No. 10/820,913

Attorney Docket No. 10541-2028

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

1. While *In re Schreiber*, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997) may require apparatus claims be distinguished over prior art in terms of structure rather than function, *In re Venner*, 120 USPQ 193 (CCPA 1958); (see also MPEP 2144.04), provides that the function or purpose of a structure is relevant when determining whether a claimed automatic structure is anticipated by, or distinguishes over, a prior art manual structure.



David P. Hudyma  
Reg. No. 60,084  
734-302-6000

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Attachments: None